

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 34/2013**

SATISH KUMAR MISHRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Ritu Jain, Advocate.
(M:9910290400)

versus

MEGHA SINGH

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Aditya Dewan, Mr. Bharat
Bhushan, Ms. Pooja Yadav, Mr.
Himanshu Gilani and Mr. Deepak
Yadav, Advocates for
Applicant/Respondent.
(M:9650273107 & 8588013470)

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

ORDER

% **02.11.2018**

REVIEW APPLICATION 408/2018 in ARB.P. 34/2013

The apprehension of the applicant is that the findings in the order dated 25th September, 2018 would affect him in the merits of the arbitration proceedings or other proceedings, which are pending between the parties. It is made clear that as per paragraph 18 of the judgment, all the allegations, objections and claims of the Respondent have been left open. The purpose of the examination of the documents in the said judgment was limited to the determination as to the existence of the arbitration agreement and nothing more.

Review is disposed of with these observations. Any other pending I.As. are not pressed for and the same are disposed of.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

NOVEMBER 02, 2018/dk