

\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 66/2018**

SAMEER

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kapil Kaushik and Mr. Yogesh
Swroop. Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP with SI Jasmer
Singh, P.S. Jahangir Puri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

10.01.2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the FIR three persons, had stolen the bag of the complainant after stopping his motorcycle. All the three accused have already been arrested. There is nothing against the petitioner. Initially FIR was registered under Section 379/34 IPC.

Learned APP submits that complainant had made a supplementary statement under Section 161 Cr.P.C. on the same day stating therein that three boys, who intercepted his motorcycle, had shown him a *katta* and forcibly took away his bag. During the investigation it has been revealed from the disclosure statements of the co-accused that petitioner had conspired with them and had given a tip to them that victim will be carrying cash. Accordingly, offences under Sections 392/411/120B/34 IPC have been

added later on.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that except the disclosure statement of co-accused there is no other material has been collected against the petitioner, inasmuch as, petitioner was not present at the spot.

Keeping in mind the totality of the facts and circumstances of this case, it is ordered that in case of arrest petitioner be released on bail subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer/SHO of the concerned police station. Petitioner shall, however, cooperate in the investigation and appear before the Investigating Officer as and when he is called upon.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms.

Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

JANUARY 10, 2018

ga