

\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 38/2018**

SUNNY KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr Sumit Kumar with Mr Robindra
Nanda, Advocates.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through : Mr Akshai Malik, APP.
SI Bimla, PS Inderpuri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

% **08.01.2018**

Crl. M.A. 351/2018(exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

BAIL APPLN. 38/2018

1. The petitioner seeks bail in FIR No.145/2017, Police Station Inderpuri, under Sections, 363/376/506 IPC and Section 6 of the POCSO Act.

2. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor informs that the statement of the complainant who is aged 16 is yet to be recorded.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that twice the matter has been fixed for recording of the statement of the complainant,

however, the same has not been recorded and the next date for recording the statement before Trial Court is 20.02.2018.

4. Learned counsel submits that in case the Trial Court is directed to complete the recording of the statement on the next date and does not grant adjournment, he shall not press the application and would seek his remedies after the statement is recorded.

5. Since the next date fixed is 20.02.2018, it is expected that the Trial Court shall record statement of the complainant on the next date and in case for any reason, the recording of the statement of the complainant is completed on the said date, the Court shall endeavour to complete the recording of the statement of the complainant on or before 28.02.2018.

6. In view of the above, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to take such remedies, as are available in law, after the statement of the complainant is recorded.

7. Order *Dasti* under signatures of the Court Master.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

JANUARY 08, 2018

‘Sn’