

\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 125/2018 & CM Nos.570-571/2018

INDIAN WIND POWER ASSOCIATION

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sandeep Grover, Ms. Pankhuri
Bhardwaj & Ms. Sukriti Mago,
Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF
POWER AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Tejaswita, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

%

09.01.2018

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents, who is present on advance notice, with Mr. Hari Bhaskaran, Scientist 'C' with Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, the second respondent.

At the outset, learned counsel for the respondents states that the writ petition is premature and is based on assumption that the State Government, while floating tenders, would not take into consideration the velocity zones and other aspects. It is pointed out by the respondents that, it is for the State Government to adopt and apply the aforesaid guidelines while floating tenders.

In view of the statement made by the respondents, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the writ

petition and states that, if any anomaly or defect arises after tenders are floated, they would approach the Court for appropriate relief.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as prayed. The pending applications are also dismissed as withdrawn.

SANJIV KHANNA, J

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

JANUARY 09, 2018

tp