

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

RA-CR-17-CII-2018 in  
CR No. 240 of 2018 (O/M)  
Date of decision : 7.2.2018

Bageecha Singh ..... Petitioner

Versus

Jatinder Kaur ..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.S. Momi, Advocate, for applicant-petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

An application has been filed for reviewing the judgment dated 16.1.2018, passed by this Court in CR-240-2018.

Heard.

From the order dated 16.1.2018, passed by this Court in CR-240-2018, it comes out that the learned counsel for petitioner argued the matter for some time on his revision to seek the waiver of statutory period in a petition filed under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

It is apparent that when learned counsel for petitioner failed to satisfy this Court, civil revision petition was withdrawn with liberty to move the trial Court for recording the statement of petitioner through video conferencing on the next date of hearing when second statement of parties is to be recorded.

CR No. 240 of 2018 (O/M)

The learned counsel for applicant-petitioner states that he had no instructions to withdraw the civil revision petition.

The order itself shows that learned counsel for petitioner was otherwise authorized to argue the case and it was only when he failed to convince this Court that in his wisdom, he decided to withdraw the civil revision petition, for which separate instructions are not required.

In view of the matter, there is no ground to review the order dated 16.1.2018, passed by this Court in CR-240-2018. Therefore, instant application is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

7.2.2018  
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No