

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Review Application No.23-CII of 2018(O&M)
in FAO No. 60 of 2016**

Date of Decision: 12.03.2018.

Raj Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sat Narain and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

**Present: Mr.Surinder Gandhi, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.**

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.

Review has been sought of judgment dated 12.12.2017 passed in FAO No. 60 of 2016.

A claim petition filed under Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, was dismissed by the Tribunal vide award dated 21.11.2015 on the ground that the claimant had failed to prove involvement of the offending vehicle i.e. auto-rickshaw in the accident.

In the FAO filed the award was upheld. A review petition has been filed on the same grounds which were available at the time of hearing of the appeal. Along with the review application, an application for additional evidence has been filed for placing on record certain statements recorded in the criminal proceedings.

The scope of review does not permit re-hearing of the matter. Statements now being sought to be relied were neither produced before the Tribunal nor in appeal before this Court.

**Review Application No.23-CII of 2018(O&M)
in FAO No. 60 of 2016**

-2-

In such circumstance, the application for review and application for producing additional evidence, are dismissed.

12.03.2018
reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No