

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RA-CW No. 230 of 2018 (O&M)
IN CWP No. 25407 of 2017

Date of decision: 31.8.2018

Ramesh and others

.... Applicants

VS

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Gurvinder Singh Gill**

Present: Mr. Dhruv Gautam and Ms. Attev Sandhu, Advocates,
for the applicants.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The applicants/petitioners had approached this Court seeking quashing of the acquisition, whereas the fact is that the land of the petitioners was not finally acquired. The land of the petitioners was released from acquisition after issuance of notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the 1894 Act'). It has already been noticed by this Court in order dated 28.11.2017 that Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 8977 of 2014 – Jai Naryana @ Jai Bhagwan and others vs The State of Haryana and others, decided on 1.11.2017, has referred the matter for investigation by CBI regarding substantial land being released after issuance of notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act. Hence, prayer to that extent made by the applicant did not survive.

Prayer regarding setting aside of GPA, collaboration agreement, sale-deeds made in the writ petition is quite vague for which no

specific details have been given. The allegations that the transactions were fraudulent can be decided on the basis of evidence to be led by the parties, which cannot be permitted in writ jurisdiction.

No case for review of the order is made out.

The Review Application is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

31.8.2018
vs

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No