

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120 **CM-19780-CII-2018 in/and
RA-CR-183-CII-2018 in
CR-4219-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 08.10.2018**

Sham Sunder

..... Petitioner

Versus

Harwinder Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Vikram Anand, Advocate
 for the applicant-Respondent.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

On 20.09.2018 the following order was passed:-

“This is a review application filed by the landlord – respondent. He has pointed out that there is a contradiction in the order dated 09.07.2018. In the second para, it has been mentioned that the tenant would continue to pay the amount in advance by the 7th of every month but in the last para he has been directed to pay the entire rent (even future rent upto 30.12.2018).

Notice of the application for condonation of delay.

Let the non-applicant-tenant-petitioner be served by way of dasti process. Dasti only.

Adjourned to 04.10.2018.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

The petitioner-tenant was served but none had appeared on the last date and the matter was adjourned to await appearance. Even today none has appeared on behalf of the non-applicant-petitioner-tenant.

In the circumstances, the delay of 29 days in filing the review application is condoned and the review application stands allowed. It is directed that the tenant would continue to pay the rent in advance by the 7th of every month. Even as regards the arrears, the non-applicant-petitioner-tenant is directed to deposit the same on or before 12.11.2018.

October 08, 2018
ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No