

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RA-RS-60-C-2018 in
RSA No.4357 of 2016
Date of decision : 02.07.2018

Rohit Verma

...Applicant/Appellant

Versus

S.P. Gupta and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate
for the applicant/appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the application is for review of the judgment dated
04.04.2018 passed by this Court.

This Court has heard a new counsel who has appeared for
review applicant, at length.

In the considered opinion of this Court, the review application
is liable to be dismissed on the following grounds:-

1. A new counsel cannot be permitted to file a review application on the
ground which was not pressed at the time of the arguments when the
appeal was decided. It may be noticed that the review applicant was
represented by a Senior Counsel who had argued the appeal at length
and the judgment was dictated in open Court.

2. This Court has noticed that exchange deed in writing of the immovable property worth more than ₹100/- was required to be registered.

Learned counsel for the review applicant could not draw attention of the Court to any provision of law which lays down that a written deed of exchange of immovable property worth more than ₹100/- is not required to be compulsory registered. Hence no ground to review is made out.

Review application is dismissed.

02.07.2018
Pawan

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- **Yes/No**

Whether reportable:- **Yes/No**