

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**CM-14981-CII-2018 in/and
RA-CR-105-CII of 2018 in
CR No. 921 of 2018
Date of Decision: 03.08.2018**

Gajinder Kumar Hari

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Neelam Sabharwal

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Arshit Goel, Advocate
for Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. S.P. Soi, Advocate
for the respondent.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

CM-11039-CII-2018

Heard. There is delay of 23 days in filing the review application (**RA-CR-105-CII-2018**)

In view of facts mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and delay of 23 days in filing the review application (**RA-CR-105-CII-2018**) is, hereby, condoned.

RA-CR-105-CII-2018 (O&M)

Applicant-petitioner has filed the instant review application seeking one year time to vacate the demised premises.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that this review application has been rendered infructuous as possession of the demised premises has already been taken.

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner admits that

possession of the demised premises has been taken by the respondent-landlord.

Revision petition filed by review applicant was dismissed on 02.04.2018 on merit, as such, I find no reason to allow the instant application, which has also been rendered infructuous as possession of the demised premises has already been handed over by review application to respondent-landlord.

Dismissed.

August 03, 2018
jk

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No