

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

140

RA-CR-75-CII-2018 (O&M) in
CR No.1930 of 2017

Date of decision: 06.08.2018

M/s BCH Electrics Ltd.

..... Petitioner

Versus

Virender Magu

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the applicant-respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

While filing a review application, it has been pointed out that this Court has wrongly recorded that the respondent-review-applicant has been paid upto the age of 58 i.e. the date of superannuation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed the reply and admit that such recording by the Court is not in consonance with the facts.

In view thereof, the following observations made by the Court shall stand deleted:-

“It is not in dispute that the respondent-employee has been paid in accordance with the decree till the date of his superannuation i.e. 31.12.2009.”

Learned counsel for the review applicant-respondent in the main revision petition submits that the Executing Court may be directed to

proceed with the execution and to adjudicate upon the matter.

Learned counsel for the non-applicant has no objection.

In view thereof, the review application is disposed of.

The parties through their counsel are directed to appear before
the learned Executing Court on 24.08.2018.

06.08.2018
Dinesh Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No