

SUSHMA V/S DEEPAK YADAV

Present: Mr. Pawan Malik, Advocate, for the applicant-respondent.

A meager amount of Rs.15,000/- per month has been awarded vide order dated 24.05.2018.

Through instant application for review, counsel for the applicant-respondent has made an endeavour to persuade as to decrease the amount by urging that the non-applicant/appellant-wife is able to maintain herself being employed as General Manager (HR) in a private firm.

We have considered the contention of counsel for the applicant/respondent-husband in context to his income. Merely because the non-applicant/appellant-wife, on the basis of the education, has been compelled to work and earn, she cannot be denied her statutory right under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act.

It is made clear that while granting maintenance pendente lite at the rate of Rs.15,000/- per month, we have taken into consideration the entire circumstances.

We do not find any ground to review the order and decrease the amount of Rs.15,000/- awarded to the non-applicant/appellant-wife vide order dated 24.05.2018.

Review application is dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

September 07, 2018
harsha

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE