

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RA-CW No.13 of 2018 in
CWP No.6058 of 2017
DATE OF DECISION:24.04.2018

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd.

...Petitioner

Vs.

Ombudsman, Electricity Punjab & another

..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: None for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. H. S. Jugait, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

This application has been filed for review of order dated 11.01.2018 passed by this Court whereby the writ petition was dismissed on the basis of statement given by counsel for respondent No.2 that the case is covered by decision rendered in CWP No.2601 of 2017 titled as Punjab State Power Corporation Limited & others Vs. Anmol Saluja decided on 28.03.2017.

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner submits that the said judgment was not applicable in the case in hand and only on the basis of statement given by counsel for respondent No.2, the writ petition was dismissed at his back.

I have gone through the judgment/order passed in CWP No.2601 of 2017 and on perusal of said order and after hearing arguments

RA No.13 of 2018 :2:

made by learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner that the claim in the writ petition is the same and it cannot be said that said judgment passed in CWP No.2601 of 2017 is not applicable. No ground is made out to review the order.

Dismissed.

24.04.2018
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
Whether reportable:	Yes/No