

**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CR No.117-CII of 2018 in
SAO No.80 of 2014
Date of decision : July 20, 2018**

Mohinder Singh and others Applicants-Appellants

Versus

Canara Bank and another Non-applicants/Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajinder Kumar Bajaj, Advocate
for the applicants-appellants.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The present review application has been filed under Section 114 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908, for correcting the error apparent in the judgment dated 20.04.2018 passed by this Court in SAO No.80 of 2014

It is stated that new facts have been discovered. The Section 11 of CPC was applicable. The said matter could not be brought to the notice of this Court at that time.

Heard.

It comes out that vide the said order, second appeal against the order of learned District Judge, Jalandhar, was decided, vide which three additional issues were framed under Order XLI, Rule 25 CPC and the trial Court was directed to try these issues.

The plea of learned counsel for the applicants-appellants is that these issues have already been decided.

If it is so, the applicants-appellants can always take such plea and produce the copy of the judgment and order to show that said controversy covered under the said issues has already been decided. There is no error apparent on the record.

As such, the present review application stands dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

July 20, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No