

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RA-RF-140-CI-2018 (O&M) in
RFA-1393-2009**

Date of Decision:01.08.2018

Ram Chander

...Applicant

Versus

Municipal Corporation and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate
for the applicant-review-petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (ORAL)

CM-7080-CI-2018

Application for condonation of delay of 21 days in refilling the review application has been filed. In view of the averments made in the application, duly supported by affidavit, same is allowed and the delay in refilling the review application is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

CM-7081-CI-2018 in/and RA-RF-140-CI-2018

Application under Order 41 Rule 27 for placing on record Annexure A-5 to A-8 as additional evidence and application under Order 47 Rule 1 & 2 for review of order dated 23.04.2018 have been filed. The said orders dated 18.03.1999, 11.11.1999, 15.06.2002 and 07.02.2003 (Annexure A-5 to A-8) were passed before the order of this Court which are now sought to be placed on record and they relate to the period from 1999 to 2003 and the appellant was aware of them and who never put in appearance. Merely because some observations have been made in the order under review the same cannot be distinguished by placing reliance

upon additional documents by way of application under Order 41 Rule 27. The application cannot be allowed for filling the lacuna as has been held in **Satish Kumar Gupta Vs. State of Haryana, 2017 AIR (SC) 1072** and as such no ground for review is made out. It could not further be pointed out that the order suffers from any infirmity or error which would bring it within the parameters of the principles of review.

Resultantly, the present application has been filed only to re-argue the matter. Accordingly, the applications for additional evidence and the review are dismissed.

01.08.2018
pvd

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No