

**128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CR No.95-CII-2018 in  
CR No.2769 of 2016  
Date of decision : May 21, 2018**

**Narul Islam** **..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Chander Parkash** **..... Respondent-Applicant**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:-** Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the applicant-respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Applicant-respondent seeks review of order dated 18.04.2018 passed by this Court in CR No.2769 of 2016.

Heard.

After going through the order dated 18.04.2018 passed by this Court in CR No.2769 of 2016, it comes out that this Court has only allowed the petitioner to correct his address as given in the application. The trial Court was given liberty to examine the fact of said correction, while deciding the said application.

Learned counsel for the applicant-respondent states that the correct address of the respondent is already on file.

I am of the view that the said fact is to be seen by the trial Court. There is no ground to review the said order.

Hence, dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**May 21, 2018**

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No