

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**R.A. No.62-C of 2018 (O&M) in
RSA No.2012 of 1995
Date of Decision.12.10.2018**

Smt. Kaushayla (deceased) through LRs ...Appellant

Vs

Jai Singh ...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Yogesh Goyal, Advocate
for the applicant-appellant.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The arguments raised by the counsel for the review applicant-appellant tantamount to reagitating the issue, which is not the scope of the review as the ingredients of Section 114 and Order 47 of the Code of Civil Procedure do not envisage such grounds. There has to be an error apparent on the face of record, which has not been brought to the notice of this Court, much less, it tantamounts to re-agitate the points which have been raised and addressed, in view of the ratio decidendi culled out by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Tamilnadu Terminated Full Time Temporary LIC Employees Association Vs. S.K. Roy, The Chairman, Life Insurance Corporation of India and another**” 2016 (9) SCC 366.

The review petition is dismissed.

Consequently, the application for condonation of delay of 40 days in filing the review application is also dismissed.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

October 12, 2018

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned order

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No