

**206 CM-3048-2018 in
RA-CW-56-2018 in/and
CWP-21282-2016**

DHARAMVEER VS STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Present: Ms.Adrija Dass, Advocate for
Mr.Amar Vivek, Advocate for the applicant-respondents.

Mr.Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the non-applicant-petitioner.

.....

CM-3048-2018

Application is allowed subject to all just exceptions and delay
of 291 days in filing the review application is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

RA-CW-56-2018 in/and CWP-21282-2016

The writ petition was disposed of on 21.03.2017 on the
statement of Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who was also
representing HUDA. He had stated that the land of the petitioner was being
released from acquisition and an appropriate notification would be issued
within four weeks.

The instant review application has been filed by the said State
Counsel explaining that he made such statement erroneously in the instant
case. In fact, there were other connected cases in which land of the writ-
petitioners was not required and the State Government had decided to
release the same from acquisition. List of such cases has also been furnished
today. The same is taken on record.

Since the order dated 21.03.2017 was passed on the basis of a
statement which was apparently incorrect, the application is allowed; the

**206 CM-3048-2018 in
RA-CW-56-2018 in/and
CWP-21282-2016**

-2-

order dated 21.03.2018 is recalled and the writ petition is restored to its original number.

List the main case on 30.07.2018 as per roster.

However, this order shall not mean that the land of the petitioner is actually required by the respondents for a public purpose. In other words, the petitioner shall be at liberty to make out a case that neither his land is required for any public purpose nor his case is distinguishable from that of those expropriated land owners whose land the State Government has decided to release.

Ordered accordingly.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

July 13, 2018
meenuss

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**