

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RA-CW-244-2018 in
CWP-12964-2015
Date of decision: 12.9.2018

Parwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms.Alka Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The present review application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 47 Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure has been filed for reviewing / modifying the judgment dated 5.7.2018, to the extent of holding the petitioner to be entitled for appointment to the post of Horticulture Development Officer (Pathology) with notional consequential benefits as pay fixation, seniority etc. from the date, the other similarly situated candidates were appointed.

Heard.

It is a settled proposition of law that a review application cannot be entertained and accepted as an appeal and it can be entertained only if there is an error apparent on the face of the record. In the instant

case, no ground is made out to review/ modify the judgment dated 5.7.2018 at this stage. As such, the present review application is rejected being devoid of merit. However, if any representation is pending with the respondent-Department, the same be looked into by the competent authority as per law.

12.9.2018
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable?	Yes	/	No