

**IOIN-CRM-M-38279-2018 and
IOIN CRM-M-36719-2018**

INDERJIT SINGH BAGHA V/S STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

Present: Ms.Sarika Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.J.P.Ratra, DAG Punjab

Ms.Divya Godara, Advocate
for the complainant

Both these petitions were disposed of vide a common order dated 24.09.2018 passed by this Court, quashing the FIR No.106 dated 12.08.2011, under Sections 307, 324, 323, 341, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Bullowal, District Hoshiarpur, as well as the order dated 23.12.2014 passed by the trial Court, declaring the petitioner as proclaimed offender.

The Registry has put up this case, as the report dated 21.09.2018 submitted by the Additional District & Sessions judge, Hoshiarpur, could not be tagged with this petition at the time when this case was taken up. As per the report, the Additional District Judge, Hoshiarpur has submitted that since the complainant Inderdeep Singh has gone abroad, his statement could not be recorded.

Though the report and the statement submitted by the trial Court were not tagged with the case, however, counsel for the petitioner had placed on record the earlier statement of the complainant by way of moving CRM-33602 of 2018 along with the order passed by the Additional District and Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur, vide which the petitioner had deposited the cost of Rs.50,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has attached the statement of complainant Inderdeep Singh dated 17.10.2016, recorded by the then

Additional Sessions Judge/SC, Hoshiarpur, which reads as under:

*“Statement of Sh.Inderdeep Singh son of Buta Singh
age 29 years r/o Dhade Fateh Singh, PS Bullowal, District
Hoshiarpur.*

*The present case was registered on my
statement as I was injured in the occurrence regarding
which the accused have been put on trial with the
intervention of relation and respectable a compromise has
been effected between me and all the accused. In view of
the compromise I do not want to pursue the case any
further, since it would improve our relations and we shall
be in a position to live peacefully. The copy of the
compromise deed is Ex.C1 which bears my signatures. The
compromise was effected without any threat or pressure
and voluntarily by me. I have no objection if the accused
are acquitted and FIR and the proceedings of this case are
quashed.”*

Therefore, it was submitted on behalf of the parties that complainant Inderdeep Singh has already made a statement that he has compromised the matter with all the accused persons, including the present petitioner.

The said statement was recorded in compliance of the orders passed during the pendency of CRM-M-43785 of 2016 filed by co-accused Tarlochan Singh which was allowed on 19.05.2017 and CRM-13871-2017 filed by co-accused Maninder Singh Rehill @ Lally, which was allowed on

15.03.2018.

Noticing the aforesaid facts, the main petition was disposed of while passing the order dated 24.09.2018, in the presence of the learned counsel for the complainant/respondent No.2, who had also acknowledged that the compromise between the petitioner and the complainant had been effected when the earlier statement was made.

In view of the same, no further orders are required. The reference is ordered accordingly.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

12.10.2018
neenu