

Review Application-LP No. 1065 of 2018 in
LPA No. 1176 of 2018 in
CWP No. 7320 of 2018

Amandeep Kaur vs. State of Punjab and others

Present: Mr. Narender Singh Kamboj, Advocate
for the applicant-appellant.

..

This is an application seeking review of the order dated 20.08.2018.

We have heard Shri Narender Singh Kamboj, learned counsel for the applicant-appellant.

The first ground urged before us is that the learned Single Judge has committed factual error in recording that the applicant-appellant is 37 years of age though actually he is 31 years of age. Even if the age has been wrongly recorded by the learned Single Judge and even by us, that would not have any effect on the merits of the decision. In any view, we correct the factual mistake and record the age of the applicant-appellant to be read as 31 years instead of 37 years.

The next argument advanced is that the limitation does not bar the compassionate appointment. We are afraid that the argument is misconceived. It is well settled proposition of law that compassionate appointment cannot be given after a long lapse of time inasmuch as the purpose of giving compassionate is to redeem the family facing financial crisis due to sudden death of the sole bread earner. In this view of the matter, this argument does not hold good. No other ground is urged or argued before us.

The review being sought is without any merits and stands dismissed.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

21.12.2018

RAVINDER SHARMA
2018.12.21 15:58
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document