

112 RA-CR-223-CII-2018 in CR-474-2017
VIJAY B VERMA VS SITA DEVI

Present:- Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Advocate for applicant/respondent.

.....

Applicant/respondent seeks review of the order dated 16.1.2018 passed by this Court vide which maintenance @ 50,000/- per month in terms of the compromise dated 6.5.2014 was ordered to be paid to the wife-respondent.

Learned counsel for the applicant-respondent contends that now circumstances have been changed and terms of compromise have also been violated. Therefore, the said maintenance is required to be enhanced.

It comes out that divorce petition filed by the parties is pending before the learned District and Sessions Judge, Ludhiana.

I am of the view that there is no error apparent on the record. If the applicant-respondent is seeking any enhancement in the maintenance, she can move to the trial Court and review is not an appropriate remedy.

Accordingly, review application is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.11.2018
preeti