

102
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55100-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.12.2018

Jagjit Singh @ Jeet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Kumar Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab, present in Court accepts notice.

A copy of the complete paperbook be handed over to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

It is alleged that petitioner-accused ran away from the spot. 55 bottles of liquor made for sale in Haryana were recovered from the house of the petitioner.

Learned trial Court has observed that there are three other cases pending against the petitioner. However, learned counsel for the petitioner states that only one case under the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 is pending and other cases were either compromised or decided.

Even if it is so, smuggling of liquor from one State to another State is a serious offence. It is not a case of undue hardship.

Dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

14.12.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No