

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22827 of 2018

Date of Decision: 18.12.2018

Preeti Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. S.K. Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiranpal Singh AAG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)

Petitioner has participated for the post of PGT (Economics) in the SC category. Pursuant to the advertisement (Annexure P-2) Total 185 posts and in SC category 73 posts. The last date for giving application form as per the advertisement was 21.09.2015. In the application form (Annexure P-3) the petitioner has stated that she has done MA economics in the year, 2014 and has secured 1205/2000 and B.Ed in the year, 2015 and has secured 1016/1400.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the candidature of the petitioner is not being considered. Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the certificate issued by the Kurukshetra University (Annexure P-6) dated 20.08.2018 where the

date of the result of all semesters of MA (Economics) has been given. The petitioner has qualified the 4th Semester MA(Economics) on 06.09.2013. However, her combined result was issued on 05.05.2016.

Learned State counsel has argued that they are not disputing the validity of the result of MA (Economics) as she has cleared her 4th Semester and her result has been declared on 05.09.2016 (P-14). The only objection is with regard to the degree of B.Ed. given to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the result cum detailed marks of B.Ed examination of 2nd semester which was held in May 2015 and the result was declared on 12.08.2015 and cut off date was 21.09.2015. He has shown the original mark sheets to the Court which has been issued on 12.08.2018 of the 2nd semester and on 20.03.2015 is of 1st Semester. Both the originals have been shown to the Court that the petitioner had qualified the examination of B.Ed and had also mentioned the marks in the application form. Hence, for all intents and purposes before the cut off date 21.09.2015, she was duly qualified, the only objection of learned State counsel is that the degree of B.Ed. given to the petitioner after the cut off date i.e. 21.09.2015. The petitioner has also placed on record the replication alongwith the details of marks of masters Annexure P-11, P-12, P-13 and P-14. Respondents can verify the original certificates. This writ petition deserves to be allowed as the petitioner has got higher marks and secured 97 marks and last candidate in the SC category has secured 94 marks. The writ petition deserves to be allowed.

Result has been produced in the Court. The petitioner has secured 86+11 marks in the SC category. Last Candidate has got secured 94 marks. Petitioner will take all the originals documents along with B.Ed marks sheets.

Petitioner is entitled for all consequential benefits except salary.

The present petition stands allowed.

[RITU BAHRI]
JUDGE

December 18, 2018
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no