

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(151)

CWP-33012-2018

Date of Decision: December 17, 2018

Sudesh Kumari

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil Shukla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present case, the petitioner has stated that even though she has retired from service on 30.04.2018 but till now, all the pensionary benefits has not been released to her without any valid justification.

It has been stated in the petition that even wrong information has been supplied by the department to the income tax authority with regard to the total emoluments which the petitioner gets from the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 10.10.2018 (Annexure P-6). He further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 10.10.2018 (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the legal notice dated 10.10.2018 (Annexure P-6), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 10.10.2018 (Annexure P-6) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled to any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to the petitioner within three months thereafter.

December 17, 2018
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No