

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

1. CRR-3996-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 20.12.2018

Pargat Singh and others ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

2. CRR-4009-2018 (O&M)

Mukhtiar Ahmed @ Kala ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate,
for the petitioners (in CRR-3996-2018).

Mr. Pritpal Singh Miglani, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRR-4009-2018).

Mr. A.S.Sandhu, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. R.S.Modi, Advocate for
Mr. Aruz Khan, Advocate, for respondents No.2 and 3.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the aforesaid two revision petitions
filed on behalf of Pargat Singh, Surjit Singh, Lachhman Khan, Mukhtiar

Ahmed, assailing order dated 27.11.2018 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur whereby their conviction for offence under Section 447, 427, 323, 201, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC as recorded by learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Malerkotla vide judgment dated 15.11.2017 has been upheld.

Notice of the aforesaid petitions had been issued to the limited extent to consider the propriety of sentence.

Learned State counsel has today filed custody certificate in respect of the two petitioners namely Pargat Singh and Mukhtiar Singh @ Kaka indicating that the petitioners have undergone 20 days of actual imprisonment. Custody certificates in respect of the other two petitioners have however not been filed.

Since at the last date of hearing it has been represented by the petitioners that the parties have compromised the matter, the parties had been directed to appear before the learned trial Court so as to get their statements recorded.

Report of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Malerkotla has been received to the effect that statement of complainant-Raghbir Singh and Sukhpal Singh have been recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter with the accused without there being any pressure or coercion and they have no objection in case the FIR is quashed in view of the compromise.

Mr. R.S.Modi, Advocate, proxy counsel for Mr. Aruz Khan, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of complainant Raghbir Singh and

Sukhpal Singh and has also submitted before this Court that the parties have indeed compromised the matter.

In view of the aforestated position, I find that the matter has been resolved amongst the parties and also the fact that the total sentence imposed upon the petitioners is 6 months, in my opinion, it is a fit case for reduction of sentence. The petitions, as such, are accepted to the limited extent that the sentence imposed upon the petitioners is reduced from 6 months to the one already undergone. The fine shall however remain unaltered.

The petitions stands accepted to the extent indicated above regarding reduction in quantum of sentence.

December 20, 2018
mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No