

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(134)

CWP-31214-2018

Date of Decision: December 10, 2018

Bhola Parsad son of Late Shri Baijnath, Junior Engineer (Retd)

..Petitioner

Versus

**State of Punjab through its Secretary, Department of Local
Government and others.**

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanjay K. Guevera, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present case, the petitioner has approached this Court seeking the release of ACP benefits, for which the petitioner was entitled for.

The petitioner states that he retired from service on 31.08.2015 and at the time, when the pensionary benefits were calculated, the petitioner came to know about the non-grant of ACP to the petitioner which he was entitled for in the year 2014.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been sought in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 26.05.2018 (Annexure P-8). He further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case, a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide legal notice dated 26.05.2018 (Annexure P-8) by passing a speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in

the legal notice dated 26.05.2018 (Annexure P-8), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 26.05.2018 (Annexure P-8) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled to any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to the petitioner within three months thereafter.

December 10, 2018

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No