

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-4005-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.12.2018

Sukhdev Singh

.... Petitioner

versus

Stakshi Industries & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Mandeep Singh Khillan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court challenging the impugned order dated 03.12.2018 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Yammuna Nagar whereby the evidence of the petitioner has been closed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner had started a new business venture and due to that he was making frequent overseas and intra state visits. Hence, he could not appear before the trial Court on the dates fixed and adduce his evidence. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for one more opportunity to lead evidence in his defence. For that purpose, even the petitioner is ready to compensate the respondent.

In the wake of his submission, one more opportunity is granted to the petitioner to adduce evidence at his own responsibility subject to payment of costs of ₹ 15,000/- to be paid to the respondent.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid

terms. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner either fails to lead the evidence on the date/dates fixed by the trial Court or fails to deposit the costs as aforesaid, the petitioner shall not have any right to lead evidence.

14.12.2018
sonia

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No