

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(139)

CWP-32700-2018

Date of Decision: December 17, 2018

Abhey Ram Sharma

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate, with
Ms. Gitanjali Chhabra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present case, the petitioner is claiming that his services be regularized with effect from 01.10.2003 instead of 01.07.2014.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 15.09.2018 (Annexure P-9). He further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 15.09.2018 (Annexure P-9) by passing a speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the legal notice dated 15.09.2018 (Annexure P-9), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 15.09.2018 (Annexure P-9) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

December 17, 2018
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No