

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

215

CRM-M-55065-2018

Date of Decision: 21.12.2018.

Harpreet Kaur and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinder Pal Singh Tinna, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sanjeev Kumar, contended that the statements of private respondents No.4 and 5 were recorded by the said ASI, wherein they stated that they are satisfied with the marriage of the petitioners which they have performed of their own and, as such, there is no threat perception.

In view of the above and that there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE**

21.12.2018

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No