

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.114

CRM-M-56157-2018
Date of decision : 14.12.2018

Sarah and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

It is submitted that respondent Nos.4 to 10 advanced monies to Parkash Masih for charitable purposes. Thereafter, relations between said Parkash Masih and respondent Nos.4 to 10 have soured. Consequently, respondent Nos.4 to 10 have filed police complaint against said Parkash Masih. The petitioners are daughter and daughter-in-law of Parkash Masih, but they have no connection with the dealings between him and respondent Nos.4 to 10. They are being harassed by the police as the whereabouts of Parkash Masih is not known. Despite, representation dated 6.12.2018 (Annexure P1) having been submitted, the harassment is continuing.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S Bains, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to call upon respondent Nos.4 to 8, at this stage.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation (Annexure P1) and to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

14.12.2018
Ramandeep Singh
Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes/ No