

108
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/12/2018 15:18
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-54774-2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 11.12.2018

Sukhdev Singh

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard. The learned counsel for petitioner contends petitioner seeks direction to officials respondents to pass order of correction of khasra girdawaris dated 2.8.2017, as stated in petition. He moved an application before Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur (Annexure-P-7), requesting him for taking legal action against private respondent.

The learned counsel for petitioner has also pointed out to inquiry conducted by Assistant Collector 2nd Class, Tehsildar Lehragaga, on behalf of Deputy Commissioner, in which similar complaint was inquired into. It was held that complainant has failed to prove his allegations. It was further observed that decision of case was pronounced against him. Complainant filed appeal against girdawari dated 2.8.2017 before Collector, Sub Division Lehragaga, who while accepting appeal, remanded appeal to AC 2nd Class for afresh decision. In this way, matter is pending before revenue authorities. However, if petitioner feels that any forgery has been committed by private respondent, he is always at liberty to file criminal complaint against him before learned Illaqa Magistrate to prove his allegations.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.12.2018
sjks

Whether speaking order	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No