

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 31277 of 2018.

Date of Decision: 17.12.2018.

Pawan Kumar Dhuria

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Gagan Pradeep Singh Bal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.

The petitioner seeks quashing of proposed coercive action of respondent No.2 in ordering the transfer of the petitioner from Market Committee, Arniwala, District Fazilka to some other Market Committee in the State of Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Chairman, Punjab State Agriculture Marketing Board, SAS Nagar (Mohali) to consider and decide the representation dated 29.10.2018 (Annexure P-5).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Chairman, Punjab State Agriculture Marketing Board, SAS Nagar (Mohali) to consider and decide the representation

dated 29.10.2018 (Annexure P-5) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

17.12.2018.

SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No