

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(122)

CRWP-8828-2018

Date of Decision: December 24, 2018

Biram Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aditya Partap Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present petition, a writ in the nature of Habeas-Corpus has been prayed for seeking the release of the detenues who are relatives of the petitioner.

As per the averments made in the petition, respondents No. 4 and 5 who are the owners of the brick klin, have detained his relatives, details of which have been given in paragraph 2 of the petition. Further, it has been mentioned in the petition that a representation was given to the Deputy Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib as well as to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib for taking appropriate action in respect of the grievances raised in the complaint.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the reasonable time has elapsed since the submission of complaint and no action has been taken.

Without expressing any opinion upon the claim made either in the petition or in the representation made by the petitioner to Deputy Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib as well as the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib, the present writ petition is disposed of with a

direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to pass appropriate orders on the representation Annexure P-1 after holding due inquiry into the matter and in case, any action needs to be taken, the same should also be taken at the earliest.

December 24, 2018

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No