

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(102)

CRM-M-64363-2018

Date of Decision: December 24, 2018

Pooja Chumber and another

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveg Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present case, the petitioners are seeking directions to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who had married against the wishes of their parents.

As per the averments made in the petition, both the petitioners are of the age where, they can legally decide about their future prospects including choosing of their life partners and it has been alleged that the parents of petitioner No.1 are objecting to the marriage of the petitioners.

After the petitioners solemnized the marriage on 20.12.2018, it has been alleged that a representation was sent to respondent No.2 seeking an intervention so as to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, especially in respect of the marriage performed by the petitioners, a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to look into the representation filed

on behalf of the petitioners seeking the protection of life and liberty from respondents No. 4 and 5 i.e. the parents of the girl. In case any substance is found in the representation made, the appropriate action shall be taken by respondent No.3 so that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be taken as an approval of this court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

December 24, 2018
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No