

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.14396 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision.21.12.2018**

Simran Sharma

...Petitioner

Vs

Naresh Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Tyagi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-:-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved of the order dated 14.11.2018 (Annexure P-4), whereby, her evidence has been closed .

Mr. Ashok Tyagi, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that in case, one opportunity is granted, he will conclude the entire evidence.

I have heard the counsel for the petitioner, appraised the paper book and of the view that no doubt, the petitioner was negligent in concluding the evidence, however, in order to advance justice and prevent miscarriage of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant one effective opportunity to the petitioner to conclude the evidence.

Keeping in view the aforementioned observations, the impugned order is set aside and the petitioner shall conclude the evidence in accordance with law, subject to payment of costs of ₹5,000/- which shall be condition precedent. If the costs is not paid as directed, the order passed already by the court below shall stand restored.

The impugned order is set aside and the revision petition

is allowed, dispensing with notice to the respondent in order to save time and defray the cost of litigation.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

December 21, 2018
Pankaj*

Whether Reasoned/Speaking Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No