

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-61908-2018
Date of decision:20.12.2018

Gurudwara Prabhandak Committee and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

The Union Territory of Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

By way of filing this petition, the petitioners seek issuance of direction to official respondents not to harass the petitioners at the behest of private respondents.

The petitioners claim themselves to be elected President and Secretary of Gurudwara Prathakh Darshan, Patshahi Sixth, PGI Campus, Chandigarh whereas respondents No.4 to 14 are stated to be claiming themselves to be various office bearers of Management Committee of Gurudwara Prathak Darshan, Patshahi Sixth, PGI Campus, Chandigarh.

The learned counsel has submitted that the private respondents nurse a grudge against the petitioners on account of the fact that the petitioners have been duly elected as President and Secretary of the Committee and as a result of which they have been filing one complaint after another, all based on identical allegations. Learned counsel has submitted that in fact complaints dated 14.09.2017 and 15.12.2017 were inquired into by the police and found to be false wherein allegations had been levelled to the effect that the petitioners had connived and conspired for continuance of illegal activities in the Gurudwara and it had been alleged that one Inderpreet Singh Chadha was also in league with them and various activities like murder, rape, snatching, teasing etc. had been going on in the Gurudwara in question. Learned counsel has submitted that in fact even after the aforesaid complaints had been duly inquired into, another complaint dated 30.04.2018 has been submitted by the aforesaid private respondents, which is also based on similar set of allegations and that the police is unnecessarily harassing the petitioners.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners, I find that the dispute mainly has arisen on account of the fact that there is some rivalry amongst petitioners and private respondents on account of the elections. In any case since it has specifically been stated that the matter had been inquired into earlier by the police and the earlier complaints were found to be false, therefore, it is directed that in case the petitioners are required to be associated with any other complaint with identical allegations, then then a written advance notice in terms of Section 160 of

Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 be served upon them.

A copy of this order along with copy of representation (Annexure P-2) be sent to respondents No.1 to 3 so as to enable them to do the needful expeditiously.

20.12.2018
Gaurav Sorot

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No