

Sr. No. 201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA.CR.No.223-CII of 2017 in
FAO No. 1571 of 2004
Date of decision: 26.02.2018**

Sangeeta Ohri

.....Appellant

versus

H.R.T.C. & Others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajbir Sehrawat

Present: Mr. Ashwani Arora, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate
for H.R.T.C.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)

Reply filed by the respondent-H.R.T.C to the Review application is taken on record.

The application for reviewing the judgment dated 09.08.2017, is on the ground that during the proceedings, the bills for treatment taken after the date of passing the Award, were placed on record and exhibited on file. But the appellant has not been compensated for these bills.

However, the respondents in the reply has averred that bills are not proved.

Since the mere exhibition of the bills is not the proof of the document, therefore, the objection filed by the H.R.T.C appears to be justified that the bills have not been proved as per law and therefore, the appellant/applicant could not be compensated on account of these bills.

No ground to review the judgment dated 09.08.2017 is made out.

Dismissed.

26th February, 2018

Shivani Kaushik

**[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable *Yes/No*