

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-3715-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 19.12.2018

Gautam Sen

...Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. N.D. Achint, Advocate,
with the appellant.

* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

The appellant, who is present in Court, has expressed his desire to argue in person.

In such circumstances, learned counsel for the appellant, through whom appeal has been filed, is permitted to be discharged from the case.

We have heard the appellant in person.

This intra court appeal under clause X of the Letters Patent is directed against the judgement and order dated 29.11.2018 passed by learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition filed by the petitioner (appellant herein) seeking a writ of certiorari for quashing the order passed by District Registrar (Firms and Societies), Gurugram notifying the final voter list for filing objections and nominations for election to Governing Body of the Society in question.

2. Learned Single Judge dismissed the writ petition on the ground that the appellant has a statutory remedy by way of an appeal before Registrar General of Societies, Haryana

(respondent No. 2). We are also of the considered opinion that once statutory remedy is available to the appellant, appropriately, he should have availed the same instead of approaching this Court directly under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

3. In view of the above, we do not find any infirmity in the view taken by the learned Single Judge, which may require interference.
4. The appeal is devoid of merits and accordingly stands dismissed.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

19.12.2018
Amodh

whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
whether reportable	Yes/No