

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No. 115

Case No. : C. R. No. 9237 of 2018

Date of Decision : December 17, 2018

Amit		Petitioner
vs.		
Nisha Rani		Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL.

* * *

Present : Mr. Munish Behl, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral) :

This petition is directed against the order dated 16.11.2018 passed by the District Judge, Jind (for short – the Trial Court), through which the petitioner has been directed to pay to the respondent-wife a sum of Rs.5,000/- per month as maintenance pendente lite during the pendency of the petition filed by the petitioner under Section 11 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short – the Act) along with one time litigation expenses@ Rs.5,500/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has been heard.

It is the admitted position that the petitioner is able bodied and a Diploma holder. That being so, no interference is warranted in the order passed by the trial court directing the petitioner to pay his wife Rs.5,000/- per month as interim maintenance during the pendency of the petition filed

by him under Section 11 of the Act. One time litigation expenses @ Rs.5,500/-, granted to the respondent-wife are also not found to be on the higher side.

Dismissed.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

December 17, 2018
monika

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>
<i>Whether reportable ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>