
Surender Singh vs. State of Haryana and others

Present: Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. O.P. Goyal, Senior Advocate, with
Ms. Anshika Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent no. 4.

The applicant-petitioner as also respondent no. 4 both having remained in District Sonipat (and within a radius of about 30-35 kilometers within that district), for about 19 years in the case of respondent no. 4 and about 21 years in case of the applicant-petitioner, this review application is disposed of with a direction to the Director Health Services, Haryana, to take a decision as to why they should not be posted out far away from Sonipat.

Before passing any orders, the Director/competent authority would go into the personal circumstances of both, the applicant-petitioner as also respondent no. 4, and thereafter pass a speaking order in the case of each of them, wherever they have to be posted.

Since learned Senior Counsel appearing for respondent no. 4 has submitted that respondent no. 4 has some serious personal problems at home, without making any comment on the merits of that contention, which would be gone into by the competent authority, who would take due note of the issues of both the applicant-petitioner and respondent no. 4 and state in such order whether they deserve to be retained in and around Sonipat, or need to be posted out.

**RA-CW-489-2017 in
CWP No. 154 of 2017**

Nitin
2018-02-26 15:17
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

The said order be passed within a period of three months from the
date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

March 22, 2018
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE