

TARJINDER SINGH
V/S
SUKHJINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Arun Abrol, Advocate, for the non-applicant/appellant.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate, for the applicant-respondent.

CMM-81-2017

This is an application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act filed by the applicant/respondent-wife seeking maintenance pendente lite and litigation expenses.

It is an admitted fact that non-applicant/appellant-husband is working as Sub Inspector in Punjab Police and earning more than Rs.50,000/- per month.

Application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act has been opposed inter alia on the ground that the applicant/respondent-wife is running a Boutique as well as Beauty Parlour. The said fact has been sought to be controverted in the shape of an affidavit of the member of the panchayat.

Without entering into the controversy regarding the earning capacity of the applicant/respondent-wife or her income from private working, it is sufficient to observe here that the lower Court had allowed a sum of Rs.7000/- per month as maintenance pendente lite to the applicant/respondent-wife during pendency of the proceedings before it.

Taking into consideration the escalation of the prices and the fact that the non-applicant/appellant-husband is earning around sum of Rs.50,000/- per month by working as Sub Inspector, we deem it appropriate

to grant maintenance pendente lite @ Rs.10,000/- per month, besides Rs.30,000/- as litigation expenses. A sum of Rs.20,000/- earlier paid would be deductible from the said amount of Rs.30,000/-. The amount of maintenance pendente lite awarded will be payable with effect from the date of application i.e. May, 2017.

Application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act is allowed, in above manner.

FAO-M-289-2016

For payment of entire arrears of maintenance pendente lite calculated till 31.05.2018 and balance of litigation expenses, adjourned to 11.05.2018.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

January 12, 2018.
harsha

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE