

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-62068 of 2018
Date of Decision: December 21, 2018

Dharambir
.....Petitioner
versus
State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Neha Rani, Advocate for
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that some incident took place on 23.10.2018 involving his wife and respondents No. 4 and 5, resulting in registration of FIR No. 569 dated 23.10.2018 against respondents No. 4 and 5 and cross FIR No. 570 dated 23.10.2018 against the petitioner and his wife. It is alleged that respondents No. 4 and 5 are influential people because of which the police is not investigating FIR No. 569 dated 23.10.2018 fairly. A representation dated 22.10.2018 (Annexure P-3) has been submitted but no action has been taken thereupon.

The allegations in the petition seem to be misconceived as representation (Annexure P-3) is dated 22.10.2018. Had the said representation been filed after registration of the FIR, there may have been some substance in the petition.

The petition is, thus, disposed of with the observations that the police will investigate both the aforementioned FIRs fairly and in an unbiased manner as is its duty.

December 21, 2018
reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No