

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.32277 of 2018

Date of Decision:17.12.2018

Neelam Kaur and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHARMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present : Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Sr. D.A.G, Punjab.

RAJIV SHARMA, J.

It is not in dispute that grievance made by the petitioner in this writ petition has not been redressed by the competent authority, despite the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in the case of ***Punjab Pradesh Congress Committee Versus State of Punjab and others***, in CWP No.8290 of 2013 passed on 13.06.2013.

In our opinion, since the elections of Gram Panchayat is to be held in the near future and the mandate of the aforesaid judgment is that the authority must adjudicate upon the grievances/representation of the concerned party (ies), we think it would be appropriate for the authority to decide the grievances/representation by passing a speaking order after granting opportunity of hearing.

In that view of of the matter, we direct respondent No.4 to hear and decide the representation (Annexure P-4) and pass a speaking order.

Needless to say that the contesting parties in the present petition would also

be heard by respondent No.4. The decision shall be given, in accordance with law by tomorrow.

It shall be duty of the petitioner's counsel as well as learned State counsel to convey today's order to the concerned quarters, duly attested by the Bench Secretary of this Court.

The petition stands disposed of with the direction as aforesaid.

(RAJIV SHARMA)
JUDGE

17.12.2018
rajeev

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**