

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-32291-2018(O&M)
Date of decision-18.12.2018**

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Manoj Vashishtha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 4 to remove respondent No.5 from the post of Sarpanch

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani, to consider and decide representation dated 01.08.2018 (Annexure P-15).

Heard.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani, to consider and decide the representation dated 01.08.2018

(Annexure P-15) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

18.12.2018
atulsethi

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No