

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.207

**CRM-M-55203 of 2018
DECIDED ON: 21 December, 2018**

USHA

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.128, dated 07.06.2018, registered under Section 302 IPC, at Police Station Ateli, District Mahendergarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 08.06.2018. The investigation is complete. But the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date as only 03 PWs have been examined till date out of 12 PWs. Moreover, as per the medical opinion dated 02.11.2018, the cause of death was shock due to pre-existing cardiac disease. Thus, the petitioner is entitled to grant of regular bail.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for bail on the ground that the petitioner is in custody for a heinous offence. He also submits that 03 PWs have already been examined and that 05 more PWs have been

CRM-M-55203 of 2018

bound down for 14.01.2019 and therefore, the trial is likely to be concluded early. He, however, does not dispute the factual submissions made on behalf of the petitioner and that there is no other criminal case pending against her.

Keeping in view the fact that the investigation is complete as well as the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date and that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner, it would be just and appropriate to release her on regular bail. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on her furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Addl. Sessions Judge (Duty), at Narnaul.

December 21, 2018

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No