

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 32230 of 2018.
Date of Decision: 17.12.2018.**

Mohan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate for
Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.

Prayer in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondent No.2. for taking appropriate action against respondent No.3- Sarpanch as per the provision of Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Director, Rural Development, Panchayat, Department Vikas Bhawan, Sector-62,

SAS Nagar Mohali to consider and decide the representation dated 8.4.2018 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director, Rural Development, Panchayat, Department Vikas Bhawan, Sector-62, SAS Nagar Mohali to consider and decide the representation dated 8.4.2018 (Annexure P-3) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

17.12.2018
raman

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No