

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(126)

CWP-32219-2018

Date of Decision: December 17, 2018

Hawa Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Vidyut Parasaran Nigam Ltd. and others ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present case, the petitioner is seeking the release of the gratuity amount which according to the petitioner, has been withheld without any justification.

It is averred in the petition that vide order dated 24.09.2018, the petitioner had already been granted the 2nd ACP but actual payment has not been released to him despite passing of the said order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed by the petitioner in the present writ petition, he has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 24.08.2018 (Annexure P-4). He further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 24.08.2018 (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the legal notice dated 24.08.2018 (Annexure P-4), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 24.08.2018 (Annexure P-4) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled to any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to the petitioner within three months thereafter.

December 17, 2018
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No