

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.9241 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.12.2018**

SUKHWANT KAUR

.....Petitioner

Vs

HARBHAJAN SINGH AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has assailed the order dated 09.10.2018 passed by the Addl. District Judge, Amritsar, whereby the order dated 21.04.2017 passed by the Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Amritsar was reversed.

I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Admittedly, the petitioner is one of the co-sharers and partition proceedings are pending. Possession of one of the co-sharers would be deemed to be possession on behalf of all other co-sharers. Other co-sharers have not been ousted by means of any exclusive possession of the plaintiff over any

specific portion of the land.

In view of ratio laid down in Sant Ram Nagina Ram vs. Daya Ram Nagina Ram, AIR 1961 Punjab 528; Bhatu vs. Ram Sarup, 1981 PLJ 204 (Full Bench) and Ram Chander vs. Bhim Singh and others, 2008(3) RCR (Civil) 685 (Full Bench) no interference can be made in the present revision petition. The same is accordingly dismissed.

December 17, 2018

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No