

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

TA-882-2018

Date of Decision : 30.11.2018

Jaskiran Kaur Sachdeva

..... Applicant

Versus

Amandeep Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present :- Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. R.S. Bajaj, Advocate
for the Applicant.

Mr. Satinder Khanna, Advocate
for the respondent.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This transfer application has been filed by the applicant-wife to transfer a petition filed by the respondent-husband under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 from the District Court, Ludhiana to a competent Court at Jalandhar.

The ground taken is that there is a young child from the marriage to look after by the applicant.

Reply has been filed and the same is taken on record. Copy has been supplied.

Counsel for the respondent has opposed the prayer by stating that at one stage the applicant has got the false case lodged against the respondent which is still pending.

The fact remains that the respondent is facing litigation at Jalandhar. In my opinion, on the comparative hardship tests it would be appropriate if the divorce petition filed by the respondent at Ludhiana is

transferred to the Court of District and Sessions Judge, Jalandhar. Ordered accordingly. The record be sent to the Court of District and Sessions Judge, Jalandhar. Parties through counsel are directed to appear before the District and Sessions Judge, Jalandhar on 28.12.2018 who can try the case himself or mark the same to other competent court.

Consequently, the transfer application stands allowed in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

November 30, 2018
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No